

IN THE COUNTY COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO.:  
JUDGE:

,  
Plaintiff(s),

v.

,  
Defendant(s).

\_\_\_\_\_ /

**SHOW CAUSE ORDER - FORUM NON CONVENIENS**

IT APPEARING TO THIS COURT upon a sua sponte review of the record that the Statement of Claim/Complaint raises a question that Broward County has no relevant connection to this case, and that in the interests of justice, the Broward judiciary and a Broward “jury, which is both a scarce and precious resource, should not be burdened with determining a case that has no connection” to Broward County; and that in the interests of justice and convenience of the witnesses, that the county in which the medical treatment occurred or county in which the patient resides has adequate judicial resources to address this case, THIS IS TO DIRECT PLAINTIFF’S COUNSEL, unless Plaintiff consents to the transfer, to FILE with the Clerk a WRITTEN response to this Court’s Order to Show Cause why this case should not be transferred out of Broward County at Plaintiff’s expense, which AT A MINIMUM must include the following:

- a. The county in which medical treatment was provided;
- b. The county in which the patient resides;
- c. The county in which the automobile accident occurred (if known); and
- d. Any other relevant information necessary to this Court’s venue determination.

Said written response must be filed with the Clerk of Court within ten (10) days of the date of this Order. Failure to comply with this Order to Show Cause shall be deemed consent to this matter being transferred.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers at Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida on

\_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
County Court Judge

\_\_\_\_\_  
See *Hall v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company*, 118 So.3d 847, 848 (Fla. 3d DCA 2013) and cases cited therein; *Caceres v. Merco Group of the Palm Beaches, Inc.*, 282 So.3d 1081 (Fla. 3d DCA 2019) and cases cited therein; *Stamen v. Arrillaga*, 169 So.3d 1209, 1210 (Fla. 4<sup>th</sup> DCA 2015) (“a trial court may *sua sponte* raise the question” of inconvenient forum “in the interest of justice”), quoting *McDaniel Reserve Realty Holdings, LLC v. B.S.E. Consultants, Inc.*, 39 So.3d 504, 511 (Fla. 4<sup>th</sup> DCA 2010). See also *Clear Vision Windshield Repair LLC v. GEICO*, 24 Fla. L. Weekly Supp. 194 (Lee Cty. Ct. 2016).

Copies Furnished to: